

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air a negative film about John F. Kerry days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. Why is this company involved in the upcoming election? This seems a clear violation of their FCC license. We, the people of the United States own the airwaves, not Sinclair Broadcasting. And, this is still a democracy, isn't it? This action seems like a total violation of their rights and more importantly, ours (We, the people of the United States).

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. Why is the FCC allowing this to go forward? You are being paid by the citizens of the United States to do a job for us and you need to do that job. It is imperative that you act immediately as your responsibility now involves protecting the upcoming election.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

This entire affair puts the leadership of the FCC under severe scrutiny and makes Sinclair Communications an organization that is at best very questionable.

Thank you.